

INSIDE:

President's Corner	Page 2
Relicensing Update	Page 3
Social Update	Page 4
2004 Membership Form	Page 5
Mountain Top Development	
Water Quality Hearing	Page 7



Important Dates

1st BoD Meeting	April 18, 2004
Member Breakfast	May 15, 2004
Lake Clean Up	June 12, 2004
Member Breakfast	June 19, 2004
Member Breakfast	July 17, 2004
Annual Meeting	August 7, 2004
Glenville CDC, 9am	
Member Breakfast	August 21, 2004
Member Breakfast	September 18, 2004

Friends of Lake Glenville

Winter 2004

www.friendsoflakeglenville.com

Howard M. Austin, Editor

Official Announcement: Annual FLG Meeting August 7, 2004 9am Glenville CDC

FLG Membership

The colorful and informative FLG brochures you may have seen in shops and office throughout Glenville and Cashiers have been very useful in attracting new members throughout the past year. Also the efforts of our present members who have encouraged their friends and neighbors to join us have been helpful in maintaining our membership level.

However, while we have approximately 260 paid member families, that is a rather anemic number when compared to the estimated 600 families who own homes or property on or near the lake. Beyond the immediate lake area there is hardly anyone in the community at large who doesn't view (pardon the pun) Lake Glenville as an important component to their enjoyment of this area. The lake amenity is not only a tremendous value to water active folks but it is enjoyed equally as often by those who only like to look.

The membership committee plans are in place to exceed our goal of 300 members this year. Our membership drive will begin now with our usual member renewal. We will continue distributing brochures combined with an effort to increase our visibility throughout the community. Early in the season we will seek, through our present members, to contact the home owner associations for their rosters so we can inform those non-members about FLG.

Some of you out there are excellent marketers through training and or experience. Do let us know if you can help us with some new and refreshing member solicitation idea.



From the Archives: Frozen Hurricane Falls Winter 2001
More ice than we've had this winter, with the lake much lower too.

Dues are Due!

A "dues are due" notice should arrive to all members in the mail and by email sometime in February. Please email FLG at flg28736@yahoo.com if you do not receive the dues letter and the accompanying 2004 application. A 2004 application is included in this newsletter and on the FLG website www.friendsoflakeglenville.com as well. For most members the newsletter application form is a duplicate of one already received so it will be helpful if you passed it along to a non-member who you would like to encourage to join Friends of Lake Glenville.

This year we have made Mastercard or Visa credit cards an option for paying your dues and for any other FLG function or program such as the breakfasts, logo clothing items and sponsorships. The credit card option will also be very useful when we launch our Relicensing Legal Fund campaign in the early spring enabling members and the community to effortlessly contribute to this long term fund.

THE PRESIDENT'S LETTER

Carol Adams, FLG President

As this issue goes to press, our mountains are in the throes of a so far typical winter, after a surprisingly colorful fall despite certain "experts" who warned that due to such a wet spring and summer we might not view the brilliant hues of recent drought years. Spring and its rebirth is just around the corner.

FLG ended the "Summer Season" with another successful "Communication Breakfast" at The Landings at Trillium on September 21st. Close to fifty members enjoyed the delicious breakfast and then were updated on our relicensing legal efforts and an emerging water quality issue. Board members and two guests from the Laurel Knob neighborhood briefed us on the stream, creek and ultimately lake damaging permitting being requested by Mountainside (nee The Divide), a 600+ acre residential/golf course development. As a result of the discussion FLG members were sent an emergency email urging them to mail letters to the Army Corp of Engineers and North Carolina Division of Water Quality opposing the permits. This kind of initiative is really what FLG is all about.

Prospective members and others throughout the community often ask members about the purpose of *Friends of Lake Glenville*. Article II, Section 1. of our by-laws clearly states our purpose. "The organization functions primarily as an advocate of good lake management by addressing lake issues such as shoreline management, property owner's rights, maintaining optimum lake levels, public and private recreation, shoreline use, relations with Duke Power, ecology, improvement of the general lake and shore environment, boating safety, lake law enforcement, water quality and any other lake related common interest of Association members."

Each year our officers, board members, committees and volunteers function to meet the mandates of our purpose. However, sometimes there is a misunderstanding about how we go about this. *Friends of Lake Glenville* makes or enforces no rules, regulations, ordinances or policies. County agencies, Duke Power, NC Wildlife, NC Division of Natural Resources, NC Division of Water Quality, U.S. Fish and Game and various other state and federal agencies handle such things. Friends of Lake Glenville board members and volunteers attempt to influence decisions made by these entities through networking, communicating and interfacing with officials to assure that their rules, regulations, ordinances and policies meet our standards and vision of good lake management practices.

Through the years FLG has recorded many successes through our efforts with lake officials and agencies. Our annual lake clean-up, in-flow water quality testing, relicensing representation, boating safety course sponsorship and even our participation in the North Carolina Lake Management Society are good examples of the work we do with others to maintain Lake Glenville as a pristine, high quality water designated lake. Jackson County Watershed and Sedimentation ordinances were outcomes of our HWQ efforts. An FLG proposal for personal watercraft safety was folded into a wider state effort and ultimately implemented in state-wide legislation.

The background of the purposeful FLG picture is painted with our efforts to provide opportunities for members to mingle, fund-raising initiatives to assure our continued ability to carry out our purposes and a dedication to continue and improve communications with our members and the community.

The FLG officers, board, committee chairs and volunteers invite members to participate with us in any of our activities that interest you. We always welcome your ideas, suggestions and help.

The Relicensing challenge has been a continuous effort, composed of three major activities, which has reached two major check points. There has been a continuing effort to gain resolution to our key outstanding issues. The legally binding Settlement Agreement was drafted and the sign off meeting held. Formal comments have been written and submitted to the Draft Application of the West Fork and East Fork Projects.

There are four inter-related Hydro Projects undergoing relicensure: the West Fork Project—including Lake Glenville; the East Fork Project, including Cedar and Bear lakes; the Dillsboro Project where the dam will probably be removed; and the Nantahala Project including Nantahala Lake. While the issues for these four Projects are inter-related, four separate License Applications must be submitted to FERC [Federal Energy and Regulatory Commission].

The two major check points were the Settlement Agreement sign-off meeting held on October 29, 2003 and submission of comments to the Draft Application due November 21, 2003. FLG's comments were submitted for the Draft Application. FLG did not sign the Settlement Agreement as our key issues were not resolved.

In May the non-legally binding Consensus Agreement was signed with FLG submitting nineteen dissenting statements. Basically this meant that the bulk of the agreements were OK but there were outstanding concerns. The task was then to attempt resolution of the outstanding issues and to translate the Consensus Agreement into the data organization and format required by the FERC for submission in the Relicensing Application. A small team made up of Nantahala and Tuckasegee stakeholders worked their way through five revisions of the Settlement Agreement, about 32 pages.

While the work was progressing on the Settlement Agreement, our outstanding issues with potential options were assembled. This package was sent to the FLG attorney for legal review and comment. Then the comments were sent to Duke Power for inclusion in the Settlement Agreement. One of the nine issues was resolved.

By being a working member of the team, a number of very important details have been woven into the final document. A finished draft was sent out to all of the stakeholders for review and comment. This is a legally binding document, the Settlement Agreement. This legally binding Agreement is binding on all those that signed the agreement and all the included details are enforceable by all signing parties. The Articles in the Settlement Agreement, the exact wording, will become the terms in the License Application and these Articles (statements and commitments) will become part of the final License and in force for the next 30 years. Not all of our issues were resolved or included in the Agreement so FLG did not sign the document.

The Settlement Agreement sign-off meeting was held in Cherokee on October 29th. Unfortunately several key issues had not

been resolved so the Friends of Lake Glenville was not able to sign the legally binding Settlement Agreement and will have to become an intervener and take these issues directly to the FERC.

The resolved issue was important. In the future, when a dock permit is requested, the property owner will be required to sign and submit a Users Agreement. One of the terms in this agreement relates to the payment of costs and fees in the event that Duke has to take legal action. This section has been revised so that the loser pays the legal costs.

The negative results from trying to resolve the rest of the issues and the final content of the Settlement Agreement lacking the necessary protections was the basis for a decision by your Board of Directors not to sign the legally binding Settlement Agreement. Signing this Agreement would mean that FLG, as an organization, would not take any outstanding issues to the FERC for resolution at this time or during the next 30 years. The Board found that with the key issues still outstanding that it could not make such a commitment.

The Settlement Agreement sign-off meeting was attended. The State and Federal Agency stakeholders with their signing authorities were there. The state and national wildlife organizations Trout Unlimited, American Whitewater, Western Carolina Wildlife Federation and Canoe Clubs stakeholders were there to sign-off. The Jackson County stakeholders, the Jackson County Town stakeholders except for Dillsboro and the Home Owners stakeholders except for those of Lake Nantahala were not there and have not signed the agreement. The Fenley Forest home owners, Tatar Knob home owners, Glenville CDC and FLG reps were there but did not sign the Agreement. In short, only governmental agencies and non-local stakeholders signed the agreement. All the local stakeholders declined to sign.

In a parallel effort, the outstanding issues were rephrased into the proper Article format and submitted as comments to Duke for inclusion as Articles in the Draft Application. These issues are:

1. Lake Level Management

The negotiated lake levels are intended to achieve the Normal Target Elevations. While it would be preferable to have the requested addition worded in terms of compliance, FLG recognizes that period-to-period variations are a fact of nature. However, compliance addresses only the upper and lower bounds. The following addition has been requested: "It is intended that, to the extent feasible, the daily lake level of Lake Glenville will approach the Normal Target Elevation at least 50% of the days in each calendar year."

2. Project Boundary Nuisances

The FERC requires reasonable access to the lake by the general public. The property owners require reasonable control of lake related nuisances. The following addition has been requested: "The Licensee shall provide, at reasonable cost, the Abutting Project Boundary Home Owners with the opportunity to have an

(Continued on page 6)

FROM THE SOCIAL SIDE

Deedee Rietze, Social Chairperson

What a great season we had in the mountains this year. The Chopping Block and Trillium took really good care of us for our breakfasts with a good turn out of folks for each event.

Many thanks to the gals who volunteered to help call everyone for the breakfasts. Your friendly voice encouraged many new faces to join us. You were good listening ears as some shared concerns on the lake. Your caller lists with address and phone corrections have really helped us keep our records more current. The bottom line – YOU ARE VERY SPECIAL and I am extremely grateful for the time you invested to make each breakfast a success. So..... Please take a bow:

Patti Hicks	Lynn Leach
Marijean Wallingford	Jackie Wertymmer
Margaret McRae	Helen Cook
Sharon Wadsworth	Jackie Cochran
Patti Hampton	Barbara Moore
Pat Becherer	Dee Coates
Karen Scarbrough	Kerry Stanger
Sandi Eichhorn	Peggy Mohler

I am also most grateful to Patti Hicks, Lynn Leach and Joanne Lindquist who so graciously filled in for me when I couldn't be there because of being in Kentucky on "grandbaby alert". You all went above and beyond and made everything flow so smoothly.

It seemed sad to me that we had to cancel the Sundowner this year when we had such a great evening planned, but due to insufficient advanced reservations it was necessary. Is it time to put the Sundowner, as an event, to rest? I'd love your input. The suggestions from the surveys we received indicated that most people wanted something more casual and away from 4th of July weekend – possibly a barbeque at Andrews Park pavilion on a Sunday afternoon. (We have found we would not be able to serve beer or liquor there.) What about a hoe down? Any takers? Any event we may desire to have at Trillium would have to be on a Sunday or Monday evening.

Are the breakfasts alone serving the purpose of getting us together with friends and neighbors to socialize and share some lake business or would you still like one other strictly social event? The votes are being tabulated. Let's hear from you soon, as we are in the planning stages now for next year. Each of you that participate are the ones that make these events so special so please email your thoughts to the FLG email address.



At the final Member Breakfast, Social Chairperson Deedee Rietze and friend (top left) greet arriving guests at the door. FLG Logo sales people Lynn Leach and Patti Hicks (top right) model some of the merchandise. Treasurer Joanne Lindquist, husband Bill, and Newsletter Editor Howard Austin (below left) ponder some weighty question, while renowned story tellers and FLG Board members Doug Odell and Robert Luck (below right) share a quiet moment.



Join the Friends of Lake Glenville for 2004



FRIENDS OF LAKE GLENVILLE 2004 Membership Application

Today's date: _____

Name(s): _____

Lake address: _____ "Home" address: _____

Mail all newsletters and other correspondence to lake address or home address (choose one only for whole year).

Phone Lake: _____ Phone (home): _____

Fax (lake): _____ Fax (home): _____

Email at lake: _____ Email at home: _____

Lake 911 address if above is PO Box: _____

Lake neighborhood name and/or general area: _____

Membership type: Voting* \$35 annually

Associate \$35 annually

* Active member(s) is/are property owners and/or registered voters within one mile of the lake or within Hamburg Township. Associate members live outside this area but have an interest in the lake.

Sponsorships: Bronze (\$100-\$199)

Silver (\$200-\$299)

Gold (\$300 and up)

Other (contact FLG president)

All Sponsorships include: 1 Family membership; FLG decal; Acknowledgment in future newsletter & on FLG website

Please rank the following FLG programs and projects on a scale from 1 through 10 according to your level of interest

(10=high interest; 1=low interest)

Membership recruitment Newsletter Political/Legislative initiatives

Water/boating safety Re-licensing Fund raising

Lake/shoreline law enforcement Water quality Social events

FLG activity groups (i.e. fishing, hiking, excursions, golf, tennis, boating, paddling, cards, etc.)

I am interested in being on a committee yes no. If yes, which committee(s)? _____

Are you a registered voter in Jackson County North Carolina yes no

Form of payment: Check: Check No. _____

Credit card: VISA MasterCard [Other cards cannot be accepted at this time.]

Account #: _____ Exp. Date: ____ / ____

Cardholder (print): _____ Signature: _____

Mail completed form with dues check or credit card information to:

Friends of Lake Glenville

P.O. Box 493

Glenville NC 28736

*Must be *received* one month prior to Annual Meeting (this year July 7 2004), in order to vote at Annual Meeting August 7th 2004, per FLG By Laws.

(Continued from page 3)

Abutting Project Boundary Lease to aid the property owner in controlling nuisances that occur within the project boundary.”

3. Recreation and Environmental Fund

The release of water from Lake Glenville to support kayaking from the dam to the power house is supported by the Settlement Agreement signees. The License Application needs to contain a detailed plan for trail building requirements such as:

“Requested Addition”

a. In the event the Glenville Gorge Trail is developed, Duke will partner financially with organizations who support the trail to the High Falls to insure the Duke contribution does not exceed monies being spent on any other single Lake Glenville recreation facilities

b. A Glenville Gorge Commission will be established to oversee the funding and operation of the trail and high water flows. The majority of the members of this commission shall be local persons including Glenville and Cullowhee property owners, members of the Glenville/Cahiers Fire and Rescue Team, the Jackson County Manager, the Jackson County Sheriff’s Department, one Duke Power representative and one representative from American Whitewater who is promoting the gorge trail and high water flows.

c. Duke and organizations supporting the trail and recreational releases in the gorge will make annual contributions to the Jackson County community and all community services to be impacted i.e. fire and rescue, sheriff, local hospitals. The amount of the contribution will be determined by estimating the number of calls for help, traffic control, ambulance services, required safety features and loss of generation.

4. Future Concern

During negotiations, a potential future concern was raised. There are NO expectations that this will ever happen at Lake Glenville. However there has been a situation where a hydro generator was deactivated and the lake was sold. And, the new license will be issued for at least 30 years. As a precaution the following has been proposed for the East Fork and West Fork Projects: “In the event that the West Fork Project and /or the East Fork Project

cease to be a federally licensed hydroelectric project, the Licensee agrees to sell the abutting Project Boundary to the abutting property owners for \$100 per acre”.

5. Required Changes to the Management Guide (Shoreline Management Guidelines)

1. Excessive trees in the lake and downed trees

Under the Shoreline Management Guidelines the Wildlife Agencies have been granted too much control over the excessive trees that have fallen into the lake to date and that can be expected to fall in the future. Such a build up of debris contributes to significant and unnecessary potential fire risk. As a result Duke should assume the sole responsibility for fire risk, not only in the buffer zone, but to the adjacent private property.

a. Agree to maintain reasonable safe levels of bushy build-up.

b. Leaning trees at the shoreline should be cut before they fall to prevent damage to the banks and to help with erosion control.

c. When moving trees to the project buffer cut the trunks into short lengths to reduce damage to banks when moving trees in the buffer zone presently in the SMG that can damage the banks when moved.

d. Brushy build up to be maintained at a safe level to avoid the kind of build-up fire hazard that destroyed so much property in California recently.

2. View-sheds:

View-sheds should be approved as in the past by Duke and homeowner. Wildlife should not be involved in View-shed Approvals. This is not their property! This is not their life. Wildlife habitat strategy differs from other Federal Agencies such as the US Forestry Service whose habitat strategies have been proven.

What happens now? Duke will submit the Relicensing Application to the FERC in January. The FERC will review and probably make some changes and additions and then make the document available for public comment probably in late 2004. At this time FLG can take it’s issues to the FERC. It is at this stage that we will really need legal help and guidance to be heard successfully.



FLG Board of Directors
hard at work
at the last Board Meeting
of 2004
at Joanne and Bill Lindquist’s
new home.

FLG Members Attend Mountain Top Development Water Quality Hearing

FLG was well represented at the NC Division of Water Quality hearing held in late January 2004. Our members joined a full house at the Cashiers Library that also included Laurel Knob residents, Mountain Top developers, community leaders and county and state agency representatives. John Dorney, NCDWQ, who called and conducted the hearing set the ground rules requesting that comments be held to water quality issues although he acknowledged awareness that there were other objections as well. FLG members Carol Adams, Doug Odell and Gale Crawford were among the speakers and the following is the essence of our comments that were written by Doug Odell and presented by Carol and Doug. In addition to reporting our involvement with the Mountain Top issue this review serves as information to our members about our history with water quality issues and our future concerns. Thank you Doug!!

Friends of Lake Glenville Public Comments 401 Permit Request For the Mountain Top Development on Laurel Knob Road

Friends of Lake Glenville represents about 300 families that live in the Lake Glenville area and are concerned with maintaining the mountain living quality, water and recreation safety, the environmental balance and in particular the water quality of the lake and its inflows. Lake Glenville was the first lake in North Carolina to receive the High Quality Water (HQW) Designation and we want the Lake and Hurricane Creek to remain worthy of that designation. But, the lake is under environmental degradation pressures and does not need any additional pressures.

A little background. Years ago there was a request to build a waste treatment plant at the old school house location. The plans called for the effluent to be straight piped in the lake. This organization took a strong stand and the plans were modified. Fortunately that treatment plant never became a reality.

There was a request to designate Lake Glenville as HQW water. Everyone wanted to maintain the water quality level, but there was a strong disagreement as to how to maintain it. One of our strong recommendations was to replace the existing Best Management Practice's which were written for the piedmont with BMP's written for the mountains. Hopefully the rewrite will finally become a reality. This organization worked diligently to find additional solutions. The Jackson County "Water Shed" and "Sedimentation" ordinances are some of the results of those early efforts.

A water safety issue arose from the proliferation of Personal Water Craft. (PRC) This organization with other efforts though out the state helped to establish the first North Carolina PRC regulations. The recreational water safety on Lake Glenville has improved. Unfortunately the Wildlife Commission has since removed one of the important regulations.

At the time of the HQW work being done by the state, The Friends of Lake Glenville established a macro invertebrate sampling program for the five (5) major inflows to the lake. These studies were conducted for seven years. It was recognized that there was no significant polluting and the major problem was sedimentation. We then established a part-

nership with the UNC-Ashville's Water Quality Institute and it's VWIN Program. We are in our third year of this program that tests for 13 parameters and turbidity/sedimentation is the major problem.

This last year, because of recent observations, we have established a lake water testing program. The observations include a change of color of the lake and the reduced ability to see the lake bottom to a depth of 10 to 12 feet. Our data is preliminary. But compared to some previous years data, increasing turbidity is a serious concern. We are fortunate to have an interested retired oceanographer heading up this program. We could use some assistance to identify the causes.

A month or so ago we met with this Project's development team to gain a better understanding of scope and the details of the project. We are aware of the significant effort expended in design, reviewing and improving the site plans. We are aware of your involvement and some of the restrictions and monitoring plans that have been put in place to support this large development. It is a large project. We may not be abreast of any of any recent changes. But, we are particularly sensitive to not having a repeat of the Highlands Cove fiasco. Yes, much of that sedimentation has poured into Lake Glenville. And yes, more is yet to come. The most damaging part has been the fine particulate that stays in suspension which increases the retained turbidity. So, we do have several areas of concern with this large home and golf development being placed in the headwaters of this watershed.

(Continued on page 8)

Friends of Lake Glenville Public Comments

401 Permit Request

For the Mountain Top Development on Laurel Knob Road

continued

(Continued from page 7)

1. The significant increase usage of ground water
2. Impact to the rainwater run-off and surface retention rates
3. Potential impacts of the habitat changes
4. Adequate controls and monitoring of impact to Trout Breeding and HQW characteristics of Hurricane and Norton Creeks
5. Providing the community with a report card and final evaluation of the methods and controls that are to be used on this project.

1. Ground water usage

What can be said about increased ground water usage? It's going to significantly increase. We do believe that the re-usage of treated water for the golf course is the best use of that water. Probably there are studies to show the safety in re-using water. A minor concern. Is there any study to show the safety in using such water in this mountain environment? Here on the Highlands Plateau we are in a temperate rain forest. The summer heat and moisture conditions maybe different and these factors should be evaluated.

2. Run-off and retention rates

These headwater areas are relatively small and short. The removal of the tree cover and increasing the non-permeable areas will decrease the retention time and increase the run-off rates. Has the site design and the estimates completed home building compensated for these changes and will the finished project maintain or even improve these critical parameters? Will these parameters be monitored to completion of the project?

3. Potential habitat impact

Ground habitat will be lost. And, there is a bird habitat loss concern. The Highlands Plateau is the northern breeding grounds for the warblers. They require a deep woods environment. Has this been considered and can any improvements be made to the overall site plan to reduce this loss?

4. Water Quality impacts

Much effort has gone into trying to provide for adequate protection of the creeks and Trout Breeding. However, I do not see a plan to continuously monitor and a timely review

for sedimentation in the run-off data, nor do I see a corrective action plan if non-compliance is detected. It is requested that continuous data collection points such as "auto data logging" be established in each of the creeks near the project boundaries. It is strongly suggested that a corrective action plan be established to reduce the response time.

The developer is responsible for the project and the project's impacts. We look to DHNR to assure that the water use and waterways remain protected.

Another lingering point. Years ago during the HQW activity, a question was submitted in writing. "If at some point in the future the HQW designated waters were found not to meet HQW criteria, what action would DHNR take? Would DHNR require the necessary changes to be made to reestablish the waters to meet the HQW criteria? Or would the waters be reclassified?" I am still waiting for that answer.

5. Report to the community

With the amount of effort expended by the Mountain Top project and by the State Agencies it would appear reasonable to give some thought to and to prepare in a summary of what has worked and maybe some items that didn't work as expected. A few checkpoints done at reasonable times and perhaps done in a Report Card format. The community is interested. Please keep us informed. The results of these efforts are important. Over the next 10 or so years there will be more developments ringing the lake and impacting the watersheds. We want to understand what works to keep the area and water ways at the highest possible quality.

Gale Crawford's comments centered on the concern that the NCDWQ and other county and state agencies do not sufficiently monitor developments such as Mountain Top after initial inspections and permitting. She submitted our request that the agencies consider ways to satisfy the community's need to insure that the best water quality practices continue for this and other projects.

NCDWQ will make a decision on the 401 permit and submit that decision to the Corp of Engineers who ultimately issues the permit to the developers.





From the Annual Meeting of the Friends of Lake Glenville:

President Carol Adams & Secretary Helen Cook before the meeting.



Helper Bill Adams & Treasurer Joanne Lindquist sign in meeting participants.



Newsletter Editor Howard Austin & FLG member Ted Okolichany present Duke Power's Fred Alexander with an FLG license plate.



On the Road: Howard Austin & Doug Odell inspect lake testing equipment on display at the North Carolina Lake Management Society meeting last fall near Charlotte North Carolina.

For the latest information about the Friends of Lake Glenville meetings, notices, dam re-licensure, lake water level or condition, or any other lake related material or links, check www.friendsoflakeglenville.com

Jackson County Board of Commissioners

Jackson County Administration
401 Grindstaff Cove Road
Sylva NC 28779
828-586-4055, 828-586-7506 fax

Kenneth Westmoreland, County Manager	586-7580 (w)
Commissioners:	
Stacy Buchanan, Chairman	586-0488 (h)
Roberta Crawford, Vice Chair; District 1	586-2607 (h)
Brian T. McMahan, District 2	586-5451 (h)
Joe Cowan, District 3	586-4328 (h)
Edwin H. Madden, District 4	743-9664 (w)

Jackson County government web site: www.jacksonnc.org
Jackson County general web site: www.main.nc.us/jackson/

Friends of Lake Glenville Board of Directors

Carol Adams President	404-237-9085	casolveit@yahoo.com
Howard Austin VP	743-9494	hmaustin@mindspring.com
Joanne Lindquist Tr.	743-1630	william.lindquist@verizon.net
Helen Cook	743-0733	helen@lodgingresources.com
David Leach	743-1825	leachga@earthlink.net
Robert Luck	507-7285	robert@coldwellbanker.com
Doug Odell	743-5079	dodell@juno.com
Deedee Rietze	743-2473	dmrietze8@msn.com
Bill Coward	743-2401	bcoward@cashierslaw.com

Other Important Local Telephone Numbers:

Jackson County Sheriff-Cashiers:	743-3333
Jackson County Sherriff-Sylva	586-4355
Jackson County Rescue Squad-Cashiers	743-3655
Dale Mosteller, Lake Manager, Duke Power	369-4513
John Wishon, Relicensing, Duke Power	369-4604
George Galleher, Manager, Lake Mgmt, Duke Power, Charlotte NC	704-382-5236, 800-777-3853
Army Corps of Engineers	828-271-4856
North Carolina Wildlife-Brent Hyatt	293-3417

Editor's Note:

How do you the members of the *Friends of Lake Glenville* feel about issues raised in this newsletter or about issues that may come up related to the lake or its environs? *Letters to the Editor* or original articles on lake-related topics are always welcome, either by mail to PO Box 720560, Atlanta GA 30358-2560 or by email to hmaustin@mindspring.com. The Editor reserves the right to edit material submitted for publication for clarity and brevity and to conform to the available space.

Renew your Membership now to continue to receive the FLG newsletter. Expired memberships will not receive future mailings.



Friends of Lake Glenville
P.O. Box 493
Glenville, NC 28736



Friends of Lake Glenville
PO Box 493
Glenville NC 28736

FIRST CLASS

Friends of Lake Glenville

Winter 2004



On the web: www.friendsoflakeglenville.com